THE HONORABLE BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 WADE K. MARLER, DDS, et al., NO. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR 10 Plaintiffs, 11 v. 12 ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, 13 Defendant. 14 15 NO. 2:20-cy-00809-BJR KARA MCCULLOCH DMD MSD PLLC, et al., 16 Plaintiffs, 17 v. 18 VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al., 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO FILE OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-

00661-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR) - 1

1	CABALLERO,	NO. 3:20-cv-05437-BJR	
2	Plaintiff,		
3	V.		
4	MASSACHUSETTS BAY INSURANCE		
5	COMPANY,		
6	Defendant.		
7			
8	CHORAK, et al.,	NO. 2:20-CV-00627-BJR	
9	Plaintiffs,	NO. 2.20-C V-0002/-BJK	
10	V.		
11	HARTFORD CASUALTY INSURANCE		
12	COMPANY, et al.,		
13	Defendants.		
14	DA CHERC EN ID OD ON TELCO. D. C.	NO 2 20 CH 00 CAO DID	
15	PACIFIC ENDODONTICS, P.C., et al,	NO. 2:20-CV-00620-BJR	
16	Plaintiffs,		
17	v. OHIO CASUALTY INSURANCE		
	COMPANY, et al.,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO FILE OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO		

OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR) - 2

1	NGUYEN, et al,	NO. 2:20-cv-00597-BJR
2	Plaintiffs,	
3	V.	
4	TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA, et al.,	
5	Defendants.	
6		
7	MARK GERMACK DDS,	NO. 2:20-cv-00661-BJR
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	
10	THE DENTISTS INSURANCE COMPANY,	
11	Defendants.	
12	LA COCINA DE OAXACA LLC,	NO. 2:20-CV-01176-BJR
13	Plaintiff,	NO. 2.20-C V-011/0-DJK
14	V.	
15	TRI-STATE INSURANCE COMPANY OF MINNESOTA,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	ORDER	
19	Having reviewed the Defendants' Unopposed Motion to File a Single Omnibus Response	
20	to Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Questions to the Washington State Supreme Court, and finding that	
21	good cause exists for the relief requested, the Court hereby GRANTS the motion.	
22	good cause chists for the rener requested, the co	sit hereog Sid it (15 the motion.
23		
	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO FILE OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR) - 3	

Case 3:20-cv-05437-BJR Document 75 Filed 03/11/21 Page 4 of 4

IT IS ORDERED that Defendants may file a single omnibus response brief limited to 30 pages in response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Questions to the Washington Supreme Court. DATED this 11th day of March, 2021. Barbara J. Rothstein UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO FILE OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR) - 4